The first wealth is health.
- Ralph Waldo Emerson

OHP Online: www.ok.gov/health [click ‘Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan’ link]
Dear Health Improvement Partners & Citizens of Oklahoma,

Oklahoma ranks near the bottom in multiple key health status indicators. Many of these outcomes are related to conditions that Oklahomans must live with every day. Poverty, lack of insurance, limited access to primary care, and inadequate prenatal care, along with risky health behaviors associated with these determinants, such as low fruit/vegetable consumption, low physical activity, and a high prevalence of smoking contributes to the poor health status of our citizens.

Based upon these findings, it is essential for us to work together, across multiple health care systems, to improve the health of the citizens of our state. Oklahoma’s poor health status is unacceptable and change must occur. Our goal is to make the change that, for the sake of our neighbors, friends, family, and fellow citizens, must occur. Your assistance in that process is crucial.

For the past year it has been our honor to work collaboratively on the Oklahoma Health Improvement Planning (OHIP) process. It has been a privilege to work with committed individuals representing business, labor, legislative, health care providers, tribes, academia, non-profit health organizations, state and local government agencies, professional affiliations, and parents.

The Plan envisions working together to lead a process to improve and sustain the physical, social and mental well being of all people in Oklahoma.

The Plan focuses on several key priorities and outcomes that, when achieved, will support health improvement throughout the state. These include improving health outcomes through targeted flagship initiatives of children’s health improvement, tobacco use prevention, and obesity reduction; increasing public health infrastructure effectiveness and accountability; initiating social determinants of health and health equity approaches to address foundational causes of health status; and developing and initiating appropriate policies and legislation to maximize opportunities for all Oklahomans to lead healthy lives.

It is the desire of the State Board of Health and the Oklahoma Health Improvement Planning (OHIP) Team that you will use this plan to assist you in future actions to improve the public health system and the health of all Oklahomans. We look forward to working with you as we strive together to improve the health of the citizens of our state and make Oklahoma a healthier place to live.

Sincerely,

Barry L. Smith, JD  
President  
Oklahoma State Board of Health

Terry L. Cline, PhD  
Commissioner of Health and Chair,  
OHIP Team
The United Health Foundation states that “Health is a result of our personal behaviors, our individual genetic predisposition to disease, the environment and the community in which we live, the clinical care we receive and the policies and practices of our health care and prevention systems.” This view of health is a challenge in our state.

The Oklahoma State Board of Health and health partners throughout the state are very concerned that Oklahoma ranks near the bottom of all states in important health status indicators and we are determined to change the status quo. In 2008, Senate Joint Resolution No. 41 was enacted to endorse Oklahoma State Board of Health activities, and required the Board to prepare and present to the Legislature a health improvement plan for Oklahoma for the general improvement of the physical, social, and mental well-being of all people in Oklahoma through a high-functioning public health system. The Board of Health convened a broad-based group and charged it with developing a statewide health improvement plan.

The group is guided by strategic planning principles, and framed by a vision and mission that drives formation of goals and objectives. The Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan (OHIP) is a culmination of this group’s work. What follows is a description of key health measures that determined priorities recommended in the plan.

Identifying Health Problems

Oklahoma ranks poorly in multiple key health status indicators, when measured at both state and national levels. The 2009 Commonwealth Fund’s State Scorecard on Health System Performance ranks Oklahoma 50th in overall health system performance, with the state in the bottom tenth percentile on dimensions of access, quality, avoidable hospital use and costs, equity and healthy lives. Only on the dimension of avoidable hospital use and costs did the state improve from prior year rankings. The 2009 United Health Foundation America’s Health Rankings Report rated Oklahoma 49th both in primary care providers per 100,000 and in the nation overall. Oklahoma was in the bottom tenth percentile for 50 percent of the cited measures. The report indicated challenges facing the state include a high prevalence of smoking, limited availability of primary care physicians, a high rate of preventable hospitalizations, many poor mental and physical health days, a high prevalence of obesity and a high rate of deaths from cardiovascular disease. Health disparities show obesity is more prevalent among non-Hispanic American Indians than non-Hispanic whites. The prevalence of diabetes also varies by race and ethnicity in the state.

The 2008 State of the State’s Health Report issued by the Oklahoma State Board of Health and Oklahoma State Department of Health confirms national findings. Oklahoma still leads much of the nation with deaths due to heart disease. The state’s rates for cerebrovascular disease deaths (strokes) are much higher than most of the nation. Of particular concern with both heart disease and cerebrovascular disease deaths is a large disparity among blacks, with higher rates than any other ethnic group in Oklahoma. Chronic lower respiratory diseases and lung cancer continue to plague Oklahoma at higher than national average rates, primarily because of Oklahoma’s high use of cigarettes. Older adults (age 55+) in Oklahoma have significantly higher rates of diabetes and cancer than younger age groups, and between 36 to 40 percent report no physical activity in the past thirty days. Taken together, these conditions result in a much higher total mortality rate for Oklahoma than the rest of the nation.
Many factors contribute to our poor health outcomes, higher rates of disease and overall higher total mortality. Personal behavior provides the single greatest opportunity to improve health and reduce premature deaths. However, people do not make behavior choices in isolation, but in a larger, complex context. The public is not solely responsible for instituting and maintaining the lifestyle changes necessary to reduce risk and improve health. Individual behavior is also influenced to a large extent by the social environment, e.g., community norms and values, regulations, and policies. The OHP plan takes both behavioral and social determinants into consideration in analyzing the problems and framing recommendations.

Behavioral causes account for nearly 40 percent of all deaths in the United States. Tobacco use and obesity are major factors influencing Oklahoma’s health. These activities combined with physical inactivity are the top behavioral causes of premature death in the country. Key social determinants impacting Oklahoma’s health include the following: 1) the number of individuals with low income, 2) low educational attainment levels, 3) high rates of uninsured, 4) racial and ethnic disparities, 5) inadequate income, 2) low educational attainment levels, 3) high rates of uninsured, 4) racial and ethnic disparities, and 5) inadequate income, low educational attainment levels, high rates of uninsured, and racial and ethnic disparities. These listening sessions also identified several model practices or initiatives that could be helpful to other communities as they proceed toward improving health in the areas in which they lose. These initiatives are being shared statewide as they become available so that others can use these proven models to improve health in their communities.

Access to Health Services

Impediments to access cited by local participants were insurance limitations, availability of providers and appointments, and transportation barriers.

Workforce

Feedback at community meetings indicated the lack of available health care providers, especially in rural areas. Individuals also cited the lack of insurance and affordability to take Medicaid. Recommendations included the need for incentives to recruit and retain health care providers and workers.

Prevention

Preventive health services are currently not a priority in their communities.

Tobacco Use Prevention

Tobacco use cessation and prevention was seen as a priority by those in attendance at the listening sessions. However, the community representatives felt it was not a high enough priority in their communities.

Poverty

Inadequate income limits the ability to engage in healthy behaviors (healthy foods which cost more, etc.) and limits access to jobs offering health insurance.

Educational Achievement

There is a need for better high school and post-high school completion rates and knowledge of what is involved to achieve and maintain personal health.

These listening sessions also identified several model practices or initiatives that could be helpful to other communities as they proceed toward improving health in the areas in which they lose. These initiatives are being shared statewide as they become available so that others can use these proven models to improve health in their communities. In addition to the listening session themes above, commonly referenced public comments were solicited and included the following recommendations: Encouraging employers to provide employees time during work to exercise, passing legislation requiring coverage for smoking cessation, taxes for unhealthy food and requirements for fast food restaurants to post calories, implementing health disparity reduction plans, improving disease management, requiring the purchase of healthy food only under the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and addressing mental health and depression, food quality/insufficiency, and urban planning. Other comments identified additional strategies to increase obesity awareness and encourage healthy lifestyles. However, people do not make behavior choices in isolation, but in a larger, complex context. The public is not solely responsible for instituting and maintaining the lifestyle changes necessary to reduce risk and improve health. Individual behavior is also influenced to a large extent by the social environment, e.g., community norms and values, regulations, and policies. The OHP plan takes both behavioral and social determinants into consideration in analyzing the problems and framing recommendations.
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by May 2010, extend state law to eliminate smoking in all indoor public places and workplaces, except in private residences; currently, Oklahoma state laws contain exceptions for certain workplaces.

By October 2010, the Strong and Healthy Oklahoma Coalition of OSDH, in collaboration with Oklahoma Fit Kids Coalition and other stakeholders, will have developed the capacity to provide technical assistance, consulting, and training in the integration, coordination, and implementation of evidence-based or promising programs addressing physical activity, nutrition, and mental health in an effort to reduce cost and increase accessibility to those programs for schools and communities.

By May 2011, mandate health-related fitness testing in all public schools for all students.


By May 2013 implement Oklahoma Health Care Authority rule change to provide financial incentives for Baby Friendly Hospitals in Oklahoma. (Reference Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) USA – www.babyfriendhya.org/inf/guide/index.htm).

By May 2013 pass legislation to ensure that the safety and mobility of all users of all transportation systems (pedestrians, bicyclists, drivers) are considered equally through all phases of state transportation projects and that not less than one percent of the total budget for construction, restoration, rehabilitation or relocation projects is expended to provide facilities for all users, including but not limited to, bikeways and sidewalks with appropriate curb cuts and ramps so that even the most vulnerable (children, those with disabilities, the elderly) can feel and be safe with the public right of way.

Future Actions & Recommendations

The OHP process will remain dynamic. We will continually review OHP goals and objectives to assess our progress. Outreach to local communities will be ongoing. We plan on conducting listening sessions in new communities, along with keeping in contact with stakeholders who are personally interested in tobacco issues.

The Oklahoma Health Improvement Planning Team recognizes the state has many health care needs, each supported by its own individual constituency. Our recommendations address priorities identified as leading causes of both mortality and morbidity in the state. The team challenges our partners to work with us on these initial critical implementation strategies as we move forward in realizing our vision of a healthier Oklahoma.
Achieving the vision of healthy people in healthy communities is a difficult and complex task that cannot be accomplished through a single plan of action or by a single governmental agency or nongovernmental entity. The Institute of Medicine (IOM) committee recommends six areas of action.

• Adoption of a population health approach that builds on evidence of the multiple determinants of health;
• Strengthening the governmental public health infrastructure;
• Creation of a new generation of partnerships to build consensus on health priorities and support community and individual health actions;
• Development of systems of accountability at all levels;
• Assurance that action is based on evidence; and
• Communication as the key to forging partnerships, assuring accountability and utilizing evidence for decision making and action.

State Characteristics

The importance of a multi-faceted approach is needed in response to Oklahoma’s diversity seen along a number of demographic and health measures. Table 1 describes Oklahoma demographically.

TABLE 1 - 2008 OKLAHOMA DEMOGRAPHICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>3,642,361</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 18 Years</td>
<td>906,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64 Years</td>
<td>2,244,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ Years</td>
<td>491,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Race</td>
<td>3,402,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td>2,761,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacks</td>
<td>261,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Americans</td>
<td>235,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>61,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islanders</td>
<td>3,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>79,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>240,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanics (of any race)</td>
<td>278,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oklahoma faces income challenges. The state’s poverty rate is higher than the national average. The poverty rates for children under 18, adults 18 to 64 and those over 65 are 22.6 percent, 14.3 percent and 10.9 percent respectively. There is variation across race with Blacks and Hispanics having twice the poverty rate of Whites.

Health care services in the state are delivered through numerous types of providers. What follows is an overview of both public and private providers, types of coverage and financing in Oklahoma.

The Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH), Oklahoma City-County Health Department (OCCHD) and the Tulsa Health Department (THD) are public health authorities responsible for protecting and promoting the health of the citizens of Oklahoma by preventing disease and injury and assuring the conditions by which Oklahomans can be healthy. The Oklahoma State Department of Health has 68 organized county health departments and an additional 20 satellite clinics in 18 counties. Seven counties do not have organized health departments (Nowata, Alfalfa, Cimarron, Ellis, Dewey, Roger Mills, and Washita.) The city-county health departments located in Oklahoma City (OCCHD) and Tulsa (THD) operate autonomously from the local county health department network. A sampling of services offered by these three entities includes:

• Reproductive and Sexual Health - Family Planning and Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Clinical and Outreach Services
• Immunization Program Services: Childhood, Adult and Overseas Vaccines, Seasonal Influenza Initiative, Pandemic Influenza Planning
• Tuberculosis Center - Diagnosis, Preventive, and Treatment Services
• Child Guidance Services - Child Development, Speech and Language, and Behavioral Health Services for Childbearing and Childrearing Families with emphasis on Parenting and Child Abuse Prevention
• WIC - Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children

The World Health Organization defines health as a “state of complete physical, mental and social wellbeing and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.” Achieving this requires broad societal action. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recognize this in their view of the public health system as “the collection of public, private and voluntary entities as well as individual and informal associations that contribute to the public’s health.”

True enjoyment comes from activity of the mind and exercise of the body; the two are ever united.

- Humboldt
providing ambulatory outpatient health care to urban communities. Thirty-six Oklahoma tribes operate their own health programs ranging from large-scale hospitals and contractual requirements.

• Epidemiology Service: Communicable disease surveillance, investigation, and prevention, as well as data analysis to identify trends within the community related to health status.

• Emergency Response Program: Development of community level plans for all-hazards response to natural or manmade events that impact the health of the population.

Additionally, the OSDH inspects all hospitals and long-term care facilities for safety and compliance with state and federal regulations, licenses several trades and professions and issues more than 300,000 birth and death records each year. The Oklahoma Public Health Laboratory processes thousands of laboratory specimens for infectious and chronic diseases and birth defects.

The Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services (ODMHSAS) provides mental health and substance abuse services. There are 15 community mental health centers that offer emergency intervention, assessment, counseling, psychosocial rehabilitation case management, and community support services designed to assist adult mental health clients live as independently as possible and to provide therapeutic services for children who are demonstrating symptoms of emotional disturbance. In some cases, the centers also provide short term hospitalization and substance abuse treatment. The Department operates a psychiatric hospital for adults, a facility for children under the age of 18 and a Forensic Psychiatric Evaluation and Treatment Center. ODMHSAS operates or contracts with over 90 substance abuse treatment programs offering a range of outpatient, residential and aftercare services. The Department also operates or contracts for residential treatment for persons with co-occurring disorders (both mental illness and substance abuse), ODMHSAS contracts with approximately 28 residential care homes to provide individuals with mental illness social and recreational experiences. ODMHSAS also funds a network of 20 Area Prevention Resource Centers offering substance abuse prevention education and community prevention project development.

There are 33 federally qualified health center sites (FHCCs). These clinics provide comprehensive primary health care as well as supportive services (education, translation and transportation, etc.) for individuals with low incomes.

School-based clinic services are limited in the state. There are 10 school-based health centers in public schools located in Tulsa County. These clinics are associated with the University of Oklahoma Tulsa Campus and the Tulsa Alliance for Community Health and are open to families of students attending the host schools. There are 285 certified school nurses in the state (2007-2008 school year).

Services to Oklahoma veterans are also available via the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VHA) through the Veterans Healthcare System. The Oklahoma City Veterans Administration Medical Center (VAMC) is a 169 bed facility serving the metropolitan area. There are also seven veteran centers providing intermediate to skilled nursing care and domiciliary care in Ardmore, Claremore, Clinton, Lawton, Norman, Sulphur and Talihina. These services are in addition to potential eligibility under various health insurance programs which will be described under the health coverage section.

The majority of health care is provided by nonprofit personnel. Table 2 identifies the major categories of private providers in Oklahoma.

### Table 1: Private Health Care Providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider Type</th>
<th># Licensed Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Hospital</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Hospital</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Hospital</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Access Hospital</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Surgical Center</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Care Agency</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Care Center</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Living Center</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuum of Care Facility</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-based Skilled Nursing Unit</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Facility</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care Home</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized Facility</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for Developmentally Disabled Persons</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopathic Physician</td>
<td>9,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopathic Physician</td>
<td>2,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered Nurse</td>
<td>39,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Practice Nurse</td>
<td>1,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Practical Nurse</td>
<td>18,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Assistant</td>
<td>1,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Therapist</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Therapist</td>
<td>1,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Care Practitioner</td>
<td>1,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>1,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Hygienist</td>
<td>1,494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: PRIVATE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS

Health care coverage is provided by a wide array of public and private sources. Public sources include Medicare, Medicaid, the state Children’s Health Insurance Program, federal and state employee health plans, the military (TRICARE) and the Veterans Administration. Private health coverage is provided primarily through benefit plans sponsored by employers. People without access to employer-sponsored insurance may obtain health insurance on their own, usually through the individual health insurance market, although in some instances health insurance may be available through professional associations or similar arrangements.

Oklahoma State and Education employees’ insurance choices include a provider network through the Employees Benefits Council. For private coverage, there are 25 insurers exclusively offering health insurance with over 300 firms offering health insurance along with other lines of business. Dominant insurers in the Oklahoma market are Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Oklahoma, Community Care, Auto and United Health.

Employer-sponsored health insurance continues to be the main source of coverage in Oklahoma. In 2008, 45.1 percent of Oklahomans had health insurance coverage through their own employer or through a family member’s employer. The second most common source of health insurance coverage, at 33.3 percent, was through public health insurance programs (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, Insure Oklahoma/O-EPIC, Oklahoma High Risk Pool and railroad retirement plans).

Health care financing involves multiple payer sources. Total personal health care expenditures in Oklahoma were $71.3 billion in 2004. Hospital care represented 38.4 percent; physicians and other professional services, 28.1 percent; drugs and other medical nondurables, 14.3 percent; nursing home care, 6.7 percent; dental services, 4.9 percent; home health care, 2.7 percent; medical durable, 1.4 percent; and other personal health care, 3.6 percent. Spending for Medicare and Medicaid represented 37 percent of total personal health care expenditures.

Fiscal year 2008 expenditures for the public health system were $556,905,867 for OSDH, $20,186,416 for OCCHD and $23,948,203 for THD.
State Health Assessment Findings

Oklahoma ranks near the bottom in multiple key health status indicators, when measured at both state and national levels.14 The 2009 Commonwealth Fund State Scorecard on Health System Performance ranks Oklahoma 50th in overall health system performance, with the state in the bottom tenth percentile on dimensions of access, quality, avoidable hospital use and costs, equity and healthy lives. Only one on the dimension of avoidable hospital use and costs did the state improve from prior year rankings.

The 2009 United Health Foundation America’s Health Rankings Report rated Oklahoma 49th both in primary care providers per 100,000 and in the nation overall. The 2009 rankings varied from 9th in prevalence of binge drinking to 49th in the ratio of primary care physicians per 100,000 population. Oklahoma improved in nine indicators, declined in nine and remained the same in four.

The 2008 data shows 2.4 physicians per 1000 population, ranking the state 46th worst.15 Public Citizen ranked Oklahoma 50th in 2007 on quality of care issues related to nursing home care and performance outcomes associated with child health.16

The 2008 State of the State’s Health Report confirms national findings. Oklahoma still leads much of the nation with deaths due to heart disease. The state’s rates for cerebrovascular disease deaths (strokes) are much higher than most of the nation. Of particular concern with both heart disease and cerebrovascular disease deaths is a large disparity among Blacks, with higher rates than any other ethnic group in Oklahoma. Chronic lower respiratory diseases continue to plague Oklahoma at higher than national average rates, primarily because of Oklahoma’s continued high use of cigarettes.

Dental health also ranks poorly in Oklahoma. Oklahoma ranks worst in the nation for adults with a dental visit in the last year17 and according to Oral Health in America: A Report of the Surgeon General18 and the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health: Office of the Surgeon General19 dental caries (tooth decay) is the single most common chronic childhood disease. For each child without medical insurance, there are at least 2.6 children without dental insurance. The Governor’s Task Force on Children and Oral Health has developed recommendations and a state oral health plan to help lay the groundwork for improved care and access to dental services. The report presents a strong, viable oral health plan for Oklahoma and is divided into five main strategic areas including prevention, education programs, access to care, state disaster response, and children with special healthcare needs.20

Tobacco use is a major contributor to the four leading causes of death: heart disease, cancer, stroke and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Smoking during pregnancy increases the risk of miscarriages and nearly triples the risk of low birth-weight babies. Smoking during pregnancy is also strongly associated with behavioral disorders in children, including attention disorders (e.g. ADHD). The primary risk factor for development and progression of chronic lower respiratory disease deaths is smoking.21 The voter-approved state tobacco tax that took effect in 2008 is expected to have an impact on cigarette consumption, but Oklahoma must do much more in terms of policy efforts to reduce tobacco use. The impact of smoking on poor health is so profound that transformation of Oklahoma’s health status from a very unhealthy state, to a healthy state, will not be possible unless there is a major reduction in tobacco use.

A priority area that drives unintentional injury rates is substance abuse in young and middle age adults. Oklahoma’s suicide rate is 30 to 40 percent higher than the national rate. Factors that increase the risk include a history of depression or mental illness, previous attempts, drug and alcohol abuse, social isolation, trauma, physical health problems and communication and intimacy problems.22

Modification of individual lifestyles and behaviors can reduce premature deaths and increase the number of years of healthy life.

Social Determinants & Health Equity

Social determinants of health are the economic and social conditions that shape the health of individuals, communities, and jurisdictions as a whole. Social determinants of health are the primary determinants of whether individuals stay healthy or become ill. They also determine the extent to which a person possesses the physical, social, and personal resources to identify and achieve personal aspirations, to meet their study needs, and cope with the environment. Social determinants of health are about the quantity and quality of a variety of resources that a society makes available to its members.23

Virtually all major diseases are primarily determined by a network of intersecting exposures that increase or decrease the risk for the disease.24 Social determinants of health interact with health equity concerns in tracing differences in population health to unequal economic and social conditions that are systemic and avoidable.25 Modifiable social factors — including income, education, and childhood and neighborhood socioeconomic conditions may be more important in explaining health differences by race or ethnicity.26 Inequalities exist across the state in such areas as access to health services and health insurance, healthy foods including fresh fruits and vegetables, safe places for physical activity, and available transportation. Major social determinants of health such as poverty, lack of insurance and inadequate prenatal care along with risky health behaviors associated with these determinants contribute to the poor health status of our citizens.27 What follows is a description of Oklahoma determinants and their impact on our residents’ health.
Income and Poverty

Studies confirm a positive relationship between income, education, and good health.11,12,13 People with lower socio-economic status die earlier and have more disability.1 Oklahoma ranks 17th in the nation for the percent of Oklahomans in poverty and 2nd worst for Oklahomans with incomes below 100 percent and 153 percent of poverty.12 Oklahoma ranks 6th lowest in median household income in 2008.33,34 There are significant health disparities for individuals earning $25,000 or less.1

Education

There are also significant health disparities among individu- als with a high school education or less.1 One example is babies born to mothers who do not finish high school are nearly twice as likely to die before their first birthdays as babies born to college graduates. Children whose parents have not finished high school are over six times as likely to be in poor or fair health as children whose parents are college graduates.35 One sees other troublesome areas, Approxi- mately 5,214 Oklahoma students dropped out of school in 2008. While Oklahoma ranked 22nd in high school graduation rates, there was significant disparity across rural and ethnic groups.36

TABLE 3 - 2006 GRADUATION RATES37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006 GRADUATION RATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2007, Oklahoma ranked 6th worst for children in house- holds where the head of the household had a bachelor’s degree.35 For both men and women, education more often means longer life. College graduates can expect to live at least five years longer than those who have not finished high school.38

Access to Health Services

The gaps in our health care system affect people of all ages, races and ethnicities and income levels. However, those with the lowest income face the greatest risk of being uninsured. Nationally two-thirds of the uninsured are individuals and families who are poor (incomes less than the poverty level) or near poor (incomes between one and two times the poverty level). The AARP Public Policy Institute reports that nationally, 36 percent of the uninsured between the ages of 50 to 65, had family incomes of less than $20,000. This makes the abil- ity to afford individual coverage difficult if not impossible. Those who have coverage often pay high premiums since most states allow insurers to charge higher rates based on age and health. Sixty-three percent of nonelderly uninsured adults have no education beyond high school, making them less able to get higher skilled jobs that are more likely to provide health coverage. Those with less education are more likely to lose their jobs and less likely to become eligible for Medicare.39,40 Minorities are more likely to be uninsured than Whites. About one-third of Hispanics and American Indians are uninsured compared to 12 percent of Whites. The uninsured rate among Blacks at 21 percent is also much higher than that of Whites.42 Oklahoma’s distribution of uninsured by race mirrors national indications.40

Data from the Oklahoma Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey in 2007 indicate that the rate of un- insured varies by age, race and ethnicity, household income, educational attainment and region. Adults of Hispanic origin had the highest rate of uninsured, three times that of Whites. Blacks were twice as likely as Whites to be without insur- ance coverage. Individuals in low-income households or at low levels of educational achievement have the highest rates of being uninsured. Thirty-eight percent of individuals with a household income of less than $15,000 or individu- als with less than a high school diploma were found to be uninsured.43 Oklahoma ranks 9th worst in the percent of all ages and the percent of children age 18 and under that are uninsured.42

Geographic location affects access. There is a lack of medical, mental and dental professionals and facilities in the rural areas. The Rural Assistance Center (RAC) reports higher the rate of uninsured, increased school dropout rates, and higher poverty rates in rural Oklahoma.44 These factors play an intrinsic role in the medical community’s reluctance to practice in the rural areas. The lack of public transporta- tion plays a significant role in accessing health care in rural Oklahoma.44 The net result is significant gaps in health care coverage in rural Oklahoma.44

Housing

Research shows good affordable housing can:
- reduce health problems associated with exposure to allergens, neurotoxins and other dangers in the home by allowing families to access better quality housing;
- increase residential stability, allowing families to avoid unwanted moves that lead children to change schools, which may impair their educational progress; and
- decrease residential crowding and other sources of housing- related stress that leads to negative development and educational outcomes for children.45

Housing can make a significant difference in the economic well being of low-income families. As is the case with many families, housing costs are the single largest budget item in a low-income family’s budget. However, the typical rent burden is much higher for poor than for non-poor families. Housing assistance may come in the form of Section 8 rental assis- tance where families receive a voucher to assist in paying rent for a private sector unit, a subsidized housing unit for which building owners receive government payments to re- duce tenants’ rents, or a public housing unit which is owned by the government.46 There are also low income housing tax credits that serve as incentives for individuals and corpora- tions to invest in affordable housing.47 The HOME program provides assistance for home purchase or rehabilitation work and rental assistance.47 Unlike many other income support programs, housing assistance is not an entitlement. Benefits typically have been targeted towards families with the great- est needs. Housing assistance is largely administered by local housing authorities.48

Oklahoma housing services are decentralized throughout the state. There are 104 local housing authorities, and 21 tribal housing authorities located in Oklahoma with the Okla- homa Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) serving as the state’s housing agency. A Housing Affordability Study compiled by OHFA shows many working Oklahomans have difficulty when it comes to making rent or mortgage payments. According to Dennis Shockley, OHFA Executive Director, “Even though Oklahoma has some of the most affordable housing in America, many wage earners cannot afford to own a home or even rent one without paying an unreasonable percentage of their income. This really sheds light on the need for affordable places to live for working Oklahomans all across the state.”46

Conversations with state and local housing staff confirm this view. They indicate the demand for affordable housing greatly exceeds the available supply and note there is much substandard housing in the state. Table 4 identifies the de- mand cited by staff with OHFA, Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa, and Oklahoma City Housing Authority in two major housing assistance programs.

TABLE 4 - HOUSING SERVICE DEMAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Persons Served</th>
<th>Waiting List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OHFA - Section B</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa Housing Authority - Section B</td>
<td>4,681</td>
<td>4,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>2,502&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,517&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City Housing Authority - Section B</td>
<td>4,034</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>2,959&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,095&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>July 2009 data  <sup>b</sup>Households served

Transportation

Research shows a relationship between transportation and health inequity. Nearly one third of the US population is transportation disadvantaged.48 These individuals cannot easily access basic needs such as healthy food choices, medi- cal care, gainful employment and educational opportunities. Many families with low-incomes are forced to live outside city centers where housing is more affordable and access to public transportation is limited. These families often spend more on driving than health care, education or food. The poorest fifth of U.S. families, earning less than $13,060 per year, pay 42 percent of their income to own and drive a vehicle.49 Families earning $20,000 to $50,000 spend as much as 30 percent of their budget on transportation.50 In addition, lower-income neighborhoods often lack safe places to walk, bike, or play; along with access to healthy and affordable foods.51,52

Transportation and housing are the two biggest household costs for most families.42 Often affordable housing and employment are not accessible to lower income families who want to use public transportation.51 Some family members may take multiple bus or other public transit routes to obtain employment. Car purchases, even when affordable, consti- tute a huge financial drain in urban settings. Busy roads and transit facilities (e.g., bus and train stations) are often located in low-income neighborhoods and in minority communities. Living near a transit station or a busy road is linked to poor air quality and increased respiratory illness.51,52

There are 62 federal transit programs offered by 13 federal agencies. Major programs offered by the Oklahoma Depart- ment of Transportation (DOT) include services funded under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transpor- tation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). Addition- ally transit services are paid under the Temporary Aid to Needy Families (TANF) program designed to help eligible individuals meet work requirements. The state’s Medicaid program, SoonCare, also pays for medical transit for eligible individuals. Table 5 describes major programs, targeted populations and program intent.
TABLE 5 - TRANSIT SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Target Population &amp; Intent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 3510 of SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>Elderly and individuals with disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 516, Job Access and Reverse Commute Program of SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>Low income individuals to connect transit to suburban employment centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 517, New Freedom Program of SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>Individuals with disabilities; Overcome barriers to work and integration into society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF</td>
<td>Low income individuals eligible for program to support employment and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>Low income individuals eligible for program to access medical care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Oklahoma Department of Transportation administers the Safe Routes to School Program that is designed to get children to walk and bike to school. The Department is also responsible for infrastructure and accessibility along state highways that intersect with cities and towns.

In 2007, ODOT’s transit division conducted a comprehensive study as part of their development of a locally coordinator public transit/human services transportation plan. Three primary sources of information were used to document the transit initiation gaps and issues for senior citizens, individuals with low incomes, and persons with disabilities in the state of Oklahoma. First, demographic and socioeconomic data about the three target populations was compiled and reviewed. Second, a survey distributed to transportation providers and human service agencies across the state asked them to identify their consumers’ current transportation needs. And third, identifying transportation gaps and issues was the primary topic of stakeholder rounds table meetings held across the state.

Major issues identified at community meetings that centered on the need for services, access to jobs and marketing of services are:

- Transportation for those Not Eligible for a Transportation Program
- Awareness of Services
- Intercity Transportation
- Transportation from Rural Areas to Cities/Towns
- Need for Greater Geographic Coverage of Service
- Greater Geographic Coverage of Existing Services
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accessibility
- Long Wait Times for Return Trips
- Second and Third Shift Access to Jobs
- Evening and Weekend Transportation
- Rising Cost of Providing Transportation

Aggregate Effects

An example of how multiple factors impact health is individuals diagnosed with diabetes. Adults with lower annual household incomes or fewer years of education tend to report a higher prevalence of diabetes. Oklahoma ranks among the ten worst states in diabetes, with significant disparities seen among American Indians and Blacks. Oklahoma has one of the highest diabetes mortality rates with higher levels in Blacks and American Indians than other racial/ethnic groups. This can be attributed to poverty, lack of access to quality care and higher hypertension rates. Although not traditionally the areas of focus of public health, the state must address these determinants if it ever hopes to improve Oklahoma’s overall health status to even average levels when compared to the rest of the United States. Major economic impacts could also accrue from the gains expected from better health and longer lives in the form of increased productivity.

State Board of Health Response

The Oklahoma State Board of Health is concerned that the health status of Oklahomans ranks near the bottom of all states. At its annual retreat in August 2007, the Board looked at metrics from national and state reports ranking Oklahoma in the bottom 10 percent in most health indicators. Board members affirmed the unacceptability of the state’s position. The Board convened a broadly based group called the Oklahoma Health Improvement Planning (OHIP) Team and charged it with developing a statewide health improvement plan. To assist in the process, OSDH contracted with Milne and Associates in 2008 to design and execute a strategic planning process to create a Healthier America that identify collaboration as a necessary condition to create a broad base of support. The community is where one sees the suffering that results from bad health. Without the involvement of local communities, the plan is likely to become marginalized. Overarching themes identified at these sessions included the following:

- School Health
- Healthier America

There is a need for comprehensive school health initiatives from prekindergarten through 12th grade. School interventions need to address health education curriculum, school nurses or like services, physical education, and nutrition. Participants stressed that schools are critical partners in addressing child health issues. Schools could contribute to the reduction in child obesity by offering healthy menus for lunch and increased physical activity. An example is the state of Tennessee which found activities implemented through its Coordinated School Health Expansion and Physical Activity Law reduced absenteeism due to school nurses providing routine care on-site. Additional students’ body mass index (BMI) measures improved.

Planning Process

An outgrowth of the strategic planning retreat was creation of an Oklahoma Health Improvement Planning (OHIP) Team, Executive Committee and Work Groups. Flagship issues were identified with work groups developed in the areas of tobacco use prevention, obesity reduction and children’s health improvement. A reporting template was developed to identify goals, objectives, necessary actions and parties responsible for implementation. Other key work groups were created around health infrastructure issues in the areas of workforce development, public health financing, access to care, and public health system effectiveness. Flagship and infrastructure issues were informed and driven by a statewide outreach effort. Listening sessions were held in each quadrant of the state (NE, NW, SE, and SW) and the two major metropolitan areas including the communities of Ada, Muskogee, Gravette, Guymon, Hugo, Lawton, Okmulgee, Okahoma City, Tulsa, and Weatherford. A diverse group of stakeholders participated representing legislators, public health, health professions, businesses, media, community coalitions, and others. Participants learned about the OHIP process, received general findings from the work groups and provided feedback on these and other health issues. Attendees also received area-specific health care report cards measuring communities against state and federal benchmarks. The OHIP Team feels strongly that listening to the local communities is critical to the health improvement process. This concurs with findings of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to Build a Healthier America that identify collaboration as a necessary condition to create a broad base of support. The community is where one sees the suffering that results from bad health. Without the involvement of local communities, the plan is likely to become marginalized. Overarching themes identified at these sessions included the following:

- School Health
- Healthier America

There is a need for comprehensive school health initiatives from prekindergarten through 12th grade. School interventions need to address health education curriculum, school nurses or like services, physical education, and nutrition. Participants stressed that schools are critical partners in addressing child health issues. Schools could contribute to the reduction in child obesity by offering healthy menus for lunch and increased physical activity. An example is the state of Tennessee which found activities implemented through its Coordinated School Health Expansion and Physical Activity Law reduced absenteeism due to school nurses providing routine care on-site. Additionally, students’ body mass index (BMI) measures improved.

Access to Health Services

Impediments to access cited by local participants were insurance limitations, availability of providers and appointments, and transportation barriers.

Workforce

Feedback at community meetings indicated the lack of available health care providers, especially in rural areas. Individuals cited the lack of providers willing to take Medicaid. Recommendations included the need for incentives to recruit and retain health care providers.

Prevention

Preventive health services are not a priority as more emphasis and funding are placed upon treatment services.

Tobacco Use Prevention

Tobacco use cessation and prevention was seen as a priority by those in attendance at the listening sessions. However, the community representatives felt it was not given the priority level it should have in their communities.

Poverty

Inadequate income limits the ability to engage in healthy behaviors (healthy foods which cost more) increases the likelihood of risky behaviors, i.e., obesity and smoking, and limits access to jobs offering health insurance.

Educational Achievement

There is a need for better high school completion rates and knowledge of what is involved in being healthy. These listening sessions also identified several model practices or initiatives that could be helpful to other communities as they proceed towards improving health in the areas in which they live. These initiatives are being shared statewide as they become available so that others can use these proven models to improve health in their communities. (See Appendix, Model Community Initiatives.)

In addition to the listening session themes above, commonly referenced public comments were solicited and included the following recommendations: Encouraging employers to provide employees time during work to exercise, passing legislation requiring coverage for smoking cessation, taxes for unhealthy food and requirements for fast food restaurants to post calories, implementing health disparity reduction plans, improving disease management, requiring the purchase of healthy food only under the federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and addressing mental health and depression, food quality/insufficiency, and urban planning.

Other comments identified additional strategies to increase education about breastfeeding in hospitals, taking personal responsibility for health behaviors, and increasing taxes on tobacco at all point-of-sale locations.
The health of the people is really the foundation upon which all their happiness and all their powers as a state depend. - Benjamin Disraeli

The results of the OHIP planning process culminated in formation of comprehensive goals and objectives to improve health outcomes in the state. What follows is a description of the OHIP Team’s vision, mission, values, and strategic map, along with a detailed description of strategies identified by the work groups.

**VISION**

Oklahomans will achieve optimal physical, mental and social health and the state health status will be in the top quartile of states by 2014.

**MISSION**

Working together to lead a process to improve and sustain the physical, social and mental well being of all people in Oklahoma.

**VALUES**

*Accountability*

To the people of Oklahoma, to the legislature, to the process, to the outcomes and to each other through a personal responsibility and commitment to work together for a greater good.

*Adaptability*

To innovate and think outside the traditional solutions.

*Integrity*

To ensure the process is transparent, equitable and void of conflicting interests.

*Sustainability*

To assure commitment to the process.

*Inclusivity*

Encouraging a collaborative spirit by engaging a broader range of stakeholders.

**OHIP STRATEGIC MAP**

- Working together to lead a process to improve and sustain the physical, social and mental well being of all people in Oklahoma.
  - Improve Health Outcomes through Targeted Initiatives
  - Increase Public Health Infrastructure Effectiveness & Accountability
  - Children’s Health Improvement
  - Public Health Finance
  - Tobacco Use Prevention
  - Systemic Workforce Development & Planning
  - Obesity Reduction
  - Access to Care
  - Health System Operations, Networking, and Integration
  - Initiate Social Determinants of Health and Health Equity Approaches to Address Foundational Causes of Health Status
  - Develop and Initiate Appropriate Policies and Legislation to Maximize Opportunities for All Oklahomans to Lead Healthy Lives.
Tobacco Use Prevention

Tobacco kills more Oklahomans than alcohol, auto accidents, AIDS, suicides, murders and illegal drugs combined. Smok- ing causes 82 percent of lung cancer cases, 80 percent of deaths from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), 17 percent of deaths from heart disease and 11 percent of deaths from strokes. Exposure to secondhand smoke causes heart and vascular disease, cancers, sudden infant death syn- drome, asthma, bronchitis and pneumonia. Smoking among pregnant women is a major contributor to premature births and infant mortality.2,3 Every pack of cigarettes sold costs Oklahoma’s economy $7.62 in medical costs and lost pro- ductivity due to premature death and disease. Tobacco use is the single most preventable cause of death and disease in the United States. The Oklahoma Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust (TSET) was established in 2000 through a constitutional amendment and a vote of the people. One of the top priorities of the TSET Board of Directors is to support recommendations of the Oklahoma State Plan for Tobacco Use Prevention and Cessation (State Plan). The tobacco use prevention work group builds on recommendations in the State Plan, OHP goals align with the cessation, prevention and protection measures outlined in the State Plan. These include: 1) preventing initiation of tobacco use by youth and young adults; 2) increasing the percentage of Oklahoma adults and youth who successfully quit tobacco use; 3) pro- tecting all Oklahomans from exposure to secondhand smoke; and 4) fully implementing recommendations from the State Plan. Key state and local policy changes will be essential to effectively counter tobacco industry influences and change social norms around tobacco use. What follows are specific goals and objectives.

TABLE 6 – TOBACCO USE PREVENTION GOALS & OBJECTIVES

Prevent initiation of tobacco use by youth and young adults. Between 2010 and 2014, enact key public policy measures including repeal of all preemptive clauses in state tobacco control laws, prohibit- ing use of driver’s license scans for marketing of tobacco products, and increasing taxes on tobacco products (indexed to at least the national aver- age); anticipate consequences and opportunities of new Food and Drug (FDA) regulation of tobacco products as related to state and local level policy initiatives. By September 2010, fully implement evidence-based health communica- tions mass media campaign targeting youth and young adults according to Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs. By December 2011, increase compli- ance with laws and ordinances to prevent illegal sales of tobacco to youth from 89% (December 2008) to year from 90% from 2008). Increase the percentage of Oklahoma adults and youth who successfully quit tobacco use. By Fiscal Year 2014, increase utilization of the Oklahoma Tobacco Helpline from 35,000 to 70,000 registered callers. (Baseline FY 2009.) By January 2015, increase the number of hospitals, health care professionals, and community-based clinics that effectively implement the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines for treating tobacco dependence. By January 2015, increase tobacco-free properties at all workplaces including private businesses, state agencies (10% to 100%), tribal enterprises (from 5% to 50%), local governments (75%), hospitals (38% to 100%), school districts (from 29% to 100%), universities and colleges (16% to 100%), career tech centers (2% to 100%) and faith-based organizations (Baseline June 2009.) Protect all Oklahomans from exposure to secondhand smoke. By May 2010, extend state laws to eliminate smoking in all indoor public places and workplaces, except in pri- vate residences; currently, Oklahoma state laws contain exceptions for certain workplaces. By January 2015, increase the number of tribal nations that voluntarily adopt laws to eliminate commercial tobacco abuse in tribal-owned or operated worksites, including casinos. By January 2015, increase the propor- tion of multi-unit housing facilities (from 1% to 25%), homes (from 74% to 90%) and motor vehicles (from 69% to 80%) with voluntary smoke-free policies.

Obesity Reduction

Sixty-five (65) percent of Oklahoma adults are either over- weight or obese, and 31 percent of Oklahoma youth are either overweight or at risk of being overweight. The latest information from the 2009 Trust for America’s Health Report ranked Oklahoma 6th in its adult obesity rate and 3rd in the percentage of obese and overweight children.4 Oklahoma’s lack of consumption of nutrient dense calories and physical inactivity are troublesome behaviors. Only 16.3 percent of adults consume adequate amounts of fruits and vegetables; and among youth it is 15.7 percent. Only 45.5 percent of adults engage in recommended physical activity with youth at 49.6 percent.5,6 The economic consequences of physical inactivity include both substantial health care costs and even greater costs related to lost productivity and lower economic output due to illness, disability and premature death.7 Over- weight and obesity are associated with many health risks such as heart disease, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, type 2 diabetes, some types of cancers, arthritis, depression and possibly stroke. Oklahoma ranks 5th in adult diabetes rates and 8th in hypertension rates. There are also dispari- ties across racial/ethnic groups. The prevalence of obesity was higher among adolescent (12-19) Hispanic boys (22.1%) than among non-Hispanic White boys (17.3%) and Black boys (18.5%). Non-Hispanic Black girls had the highest prevalence of obesity (27.7%) compared to that of non-Hispanic White (14.5%) and Hispanic (19.9%) girls.6 The estimated cost asso- ciated with obesity in Oklahoma is $854 million each year.7 This problem affects the health of individuals, families and communities throughout the state.

TABLE 7 – OBESITY REDUCTION GOALS & OBJECTIVES

Implement strategies identified in Oklahoma’s Get Fit Eat Smart Physical Activity and Nutrition Plan. By June, 2010, OSDH will have an online, searchable inventory database identifying evidence-based or promis- ing programs that address physical activity, nutrition, and obesity issues. By July 2010, develop and facilitate a multi-level surveillance and evaluation system to monitor the plan. By October 2010, the Staying and Healthy Oklahoma Division of OSDH, in collaboration with Oklahoma Fit Kids Coalition and other stakeholders, will develop the capacity to provide technical assistance, consulting, and training in the integration, coordination and implementation of evidence-based or promising programs addressing physical activity, nutrition, and obesity to reduce cost and increase accessibility for schools and communities.

In 2004, the Oklahoma State Department of Health’s Chronic Disease Service was awarded a cooperative agreement from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to address obesity issues in Oklahoma. From this came the Oklahoma Physical Activity and Nutrition Program (OKPAN). The OKPAN plan addressed issues surrounding obesity and obesity-related chronic diseases across the lifespan. Strategies in the OHP plan reflect recommendations from this comprehensive effort. They include: 1) implementing the recommended strategies identified in the Get Fit, Eat Smart Oklahoma Physical Activity and Nutrition State Plan; 2) implementing and evaluating the impact of evidence-based programs; 3) providing integration and coordination of nutrition and obesity programs across the state and 4) proposing public policy changes needed to improve Oklahoma’s health and fitness.

The determinants of obesity in the United States are complex, numerous, and operate at social, economic, environmental, and individual levels. Public health approaches that affect large numbers of different populations in multiple settings — communities, schools, work sites, and health care facilities are needed.8 Policy and environmental change initiatives that make healthy choices in nutrition and physical activity available, affordable, and accessible will likely prove most ef- fective in combating obesity. These approaches are proposed in Oklahoma’s physical activity and nutrition state plan, Get Fit, Eat Smart, for obesity prevention across the lifespan. The recommendation of the obesity work group is to identify the barriers and find solutions to fully implement this state plan.
Children's Health

Our children are our future and their good health must be our priority. However, for the first time in history, children are not expected to live as long as their parents before them. Change must occur to reverse this trend.

According to the 2009 Oklahoma KIDS Count Factbook, there are close to 900,000 children in Oklahoma representing 24.9 percent of the population (2007). Over 196,000 children are poor (2007) representing a 22.2 percent child poverty rate.

Over half of all children enrolled in Oklahoma public schools participate daily in the Federal Free and Reduced Meals program. Over 59 percent of Oklahoma children receive medical benefits through the state Medicaid program.

Key indicators such as low and very low birthweight worsened (2005-2008) and confirmed child abuse and neglect showed their steep decline (2006-2008) as compared to the mid-1990s. This improvement is in the areas of infant mortality and child and/or teen deaths.

For 2006, Oklahoma ranked 41st in the U.S. for infant mortality rates. Recent (school year 2005/2006 through school year 2007/2008) high school dropout rates at 3.3 percent are virtually the same as high school dropout rates for the three-year period just one year earlier (school year 2004/2005 through school year 2006/2007). Over 6,500 (school year 2005/2006 through school year 2007/2008) young Oklahoman quit school without graduating each year. Close to 6,000 of those are under age 19 and quit attending school in a single year.

Data show some progress in children’s preventive services in the area of immunization. In the past 10 years immunization coverage in Oklahoma increased from 42.3 percent to 73.6 percent of children between the ages of 19 to 35 months receiving complete immunizations.

A 2000 Institute of Medicine Report confirms the importance of early childhood programs. The early years of life are crucial in terms of paths leading towards or away from good health. Brain, cognitive and behavioral development early in life are strongly linked to an array of important health outcomes later in life, including cardiovascular disease and stroke, hyper-tension, diabetes, obesity, smoking, drug use and depression. There is a powerful argument for early enrichment based on cost-benefit analyses of future increased productivity resulting from this intervention.

Oklahoma has made advancements in early childhood education. In Oklahoma, a variety of initiatives have been implemented to improve the level of child care quality. The “Reach for the Stars” Program provides tiered reimbursement tied to quality criteria in child care facilities related to provider and director education as well as parental involvement. Approximately 82 percent of children receiving Oklahoma Department of Human Services subsidies receive child care in 2 or 3 star facilities. The Scholars for Excellence in Child Care Program awards scholarships to eligible child care professionals for coursework in the area of child development or early childhood education. The Reward program provides education-based salary supplements to teachers, directors and family child care providers working with young children in child care settings by rewarding education and continuity of care.

There are also early childhood programs that provide comprehensive child development services to economically disadvantaged children and families.

Educare programs in Oklahoma City and Tulsa are a partnership between the private and public sectors to create high-quality, learning environments for families and their children (ages prenatal to five years) who are at-risk for school failure.

Head Start programs promote school readiness by enhancing the social and cognitive development of children through the provision of educational, health, nutritional, social, and other services to enrolled children and families. Early Head Start programs serve children from birth to three years of age.

There are 22 Headstart/Early Head Start programs in the state serving close to 20,000 children in fiscal year 2008.

Ongoing education enrichment is seen in expansion of prekindergarten and kindergarten education programs. Approximately 69.5 percent of four-year-olds and 98.7 percent of five-year-olds are enrolled in a full or part day prekindergarten or kindergarten program.

Another vehicle for preventive health services is in school education settings. Improvement is needed in this area; Oklahoma is only one of ten school-based health centers in one county out of a total of 537 school districts in the state.

Close to 90,000 children ages 9 through 17 suffer from mental or behavioral impairments and one out of every 10 young Oklahomans may have a substance disorder.

The 2007 Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) data shows that 33.2 percent of adolescents in Oklahoma have used marijuana one or more times and 75.6 percent of adolescents have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the past 12 months and 9.9 percent have actually attempted suicide one or more times in that same time period. Additionally, one out of four adolescents in Oklahoma have felt sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more in a row resulting in a decrease in their usual activities during the past 12 months. Children facing significant adverse experiences often have childhood mental illness or substance abuse. Those who live to adulthood face an increased likelihood of poor adult health status and early death.

Access issues impact children. Both financial and demographic factors have forced health care providers to move or restrict their pediatric practices.

Racial, educational and age disparities exist. The infant mortality rate is twice as high for Black infants versus their White and American Indian counterparts (15.9, 7.0 and 8.9 respectively, 2004-2006). The infant mortality rate for mothers with less than a high school education is 1.25 to 2.7 times higher than for mothers with at least a high school education. Between 2004-2006, 13.2 percent of all births were to mothers between the ages of 15 and 19 and 96.4 percent of those births occurred to mothers between the ages of 18-19.

The four leading causes of death for children of all races and both sexes for ages 1 through 8 are unintentional injury (16%), malignant neoplasm (15%), homicide (11%), and anomalies (8%). The five leading causes of unintentional injury deaths for all races and both sexes for the ages 1 through 8 are: motor vehicle traffic deaths (20%), drowning (13%), fires/burns (10%), natural/environmental (2%), and pedestrian (2%).

Given this backdrop, the children's health work group identified three strategic areas. The first set of goals addresses the need to improve perinatal and infant care outcomes to accelerate rates of reduction in infant mortality and morbidity. Objectives include increasing preconception care, minimizing prenatal sexually transmitted diseases, increasing the number of women who receive prenatal care in the first trimester, minimizing unintended pregnancies and increasing safe sleep education. The second and third set of goals addresses the need to develop a comprehensive child health plan to improve health outcomes for the early years of a child’s life (age 1 through 8) as well as the pre- and adolescent time frames. The work group determined there is no single plan covering the full array of children’s issues. This plan would include recommendations addressing socioecononomic determinants that impede progress in both physical and mental health outcomes incorporating evidence-based practices with proven efficacy. The group will take advantage of existing reports covering topical issues in children’s health to ensure a synthesis of existing and new initiatives.

TABLE 8 - CHILDREN’S HEALTH GOALS & OBJECTIVES

| Improve health outcomes for children age 1 year to 18 years old. | By 2011, develop a comprehensive statewide plan for children’s health. |
| Building state and community infrastructure. | Develop supporting policy. |
| • Build state and community infrastructure. | • Address health inequities. |
| • Provide youth development opportunities. | • Promote youth development opportunities. |
| • Provide education and skill building for youth and families. | • Provide services for youth and families. |
| • Collect and monitor data. | • Assure measured effectiveness. |
| Focus areas to include: access to care; primary health care; dental health; mental health; injury reduction; child abuse and neglect; self esteem improvement; and parent education programs. | Improve infant health outcomes |
| By 2012, reduce sleep-related deaths. | Improve infant health outcomes |
| By 2012, reduce the proportion of unintended pregnancies from 48.4% to 47.2%. | Improve perinatal health outcomes. |
| By 2012, increase the number of women receiving quality (American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG): preconception care from 13.3% to 16% (Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Plan). | By 2012, increase the number of mothers receiving (ACOG Standards) first trimester prenatal care from 73.9% to 85.7%. |

Oklahoma has made advancements in early childhood education. In Oklahoma, a variety of initiatives have been implemented to improve the level of child care quality. The “Reach for the Stars” Program provides tiered reimbursement tied to quality criteria in child care facilities related to provider and director education as well as parental involvement. Approximately 82 percent of children receiving Oklahoma Department of Human Services subsidies receive child care.
It is difficult to get health care providers to serve as a clearinghouse to coordinate, communicate and disseminate information. To achieve this, the public health system would need to join as a group to educate legislators and advocate for common needs. The CSHP has the potential to be a unified voice for the health care professions.

Access to Care
In 2008, 687,625 Oklahomans under the age of 65 had no health insurance, representing 21.4% of this population. Uninsured rates vary by age, race and ethnicity, household income, educational attainment and region. Approximately 474,000 Oklahomans in 2007 could not see a doctor because of cost, ranking the state 47th in the nation. The access to care work group has been formed based on the above data findings. The co-chairs of this work group have identified members of the ongoing State Coverage Initiative (SCI) as appropriate persons to identify barriers to accessing care. The SCI proposal has the following strategies:

Expand Insure Oklahoma and SoonerCare
The SCI proposal calls for an accelerated effort to implement programs that are already approved and authorized but are not yet at capacity. This includes both “Insure Oklahoma” and “SoonerCare” programs that receive about two dollars in federal funds for every dollar Oklahoma spends. Full enrollment of all eligible Oklahomans for whom funding is currently available would reduce the number of uninsured by 80,000; another 370,000 could be covered if a new revenue source for the Oklahoma share is established.

Public Health Finance
Approximately 89 percent of all public health funds in Oklahoma are allocated to the OSDH, where they are spent on statewide activities or local services. A 2006 comprehensive report of public health resource allocation in Oklahoma showed 29 percent of all public health spending was allocated to the OSDH for 1 statewide activities such as health facility licensure, disease surveillance, vaccine dissemination, and laboratory services statewide; and 2) a centralized infrastructure for both administrative (accounting, personnel, etc) and technology functions. The remaining 71 percent of the funds was either allocated directly or transferred from the OSDH to Oklahoma County (19%), Tulsa County (17%), and to the remaining 68 county health departments (35%) 

The role of the public health finance work group will be to analyze and evaluate the current public health finance system. This assessment could be benchmarked against public health accreditation standards. The efforts of this work group may have an impact on how public health services are delivered in the future.

Workforce Development
In 2008, Oklahoma ranked 49th in the nation for primary care physicians per 100,000 population, with five rural counties having only one physician covering primary care services in those counties. The total number of medical school graduates in 2008 was 218. There are 715 beds per 100,000 in 2008. The Oklahoma’s Health Care Industry Workforce 2006 Report incorporated a review of 2005 health care worker vacancies and projected the following shortages for 2012: 3,000 nurses, 500 lab techs, 400 physical therapists, 300 surgical technologists and 200 respiratory professionals. The need for radiology and respiratory professionals, pharmacists, emergency medical technicians, and chemical dependency counselors has also been documented.

The Oklahoma public health community acknowledges that an adequately prepared workforce does not simply materialize and that sustaining a drive toward high performance and improved health outcomes requires long-term public health workforce development. Further, there is an understanding that new approaches will be necessary to assure that a competent public health workforce is available throughout the state to meet diverse needs in many settings and provide a wide range of services. Given Oklahoma’s rural demographics and the critical need today to provide primary care coverage to its citizens, we must explore creative ways in which to expand the number of physicians as well as highly skilled and educated physician extenders including physician assistants, advanced practice nurses, certified registered nurse anesthetists, clinical nurse specialists and certified nurse midwives.

Nationally, public health workforce studies have concluded that there are 1) insufficient numbers of health professionals within specific skilled public health occupations, such as public health nurses and epidemiologists; 2) trends toward additional shortages of experienced workers who are approaching retirement age (by 2012, over 50 percent of some state health agency workforces will be eligible to retire); 3) inadequate workplace incentives for recruitment, retention, and recognition of qualified professionals and students into the field of study; and 4) insufficient preparation in professional education programs and orientation and assimilation into the public health system.

While national data can provide guidance on potential workforce issues, current information on the Oklahoma public health workforce is lacking. In order to appropriately address Oklahoma issues, there is the need to enumerate the current Oklahoma public health workforce and develop a strategic plan to meet future needs by collecting information about size, composition, distribution, skills, and performance of the public health workforce; projecting future changes in public health workforce roles and the impact of these changes on workforce composition; and identifying education and training needs for core practices and essential public health services for multiple professional categories working in diverse public health settings.

In 2001, a coalition of many organizations — including the Oklahoma Hospital and Nurses Association, the Oklahoma Board of Nursing, the Oklahoma Department of Career and Technology Education, the Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education, and many others — began working together to identify solutions to Oklahoma’s health care worker shortage. The culmination of this work resulted in the creation, in 2006, of the Oklahoma Health Care Workforce Center (OHCWC). Created by SB 1394, the purpose of the OHCWC is to serve as a clearinghouse to coordinate, communicate and facilitate statewide efforts to meet the supply and demand needs of Oklahoma’s health care workforce.

The alarming statistics in regard to the private and public sector health services workforce provided the impetus for the workforce development work group to assess current and long-term needs of the Oklahoma health services workforce. The group’s outcomes include:

**Long Term Outcomes**

- A private and public health services workforce that is well-prepared, adequate in number, and distributed according to the health care needs of both rural and urban Oklahomans.

- A coordinated system that effectively prepares the public and private health care workforce in numbers sufficient to meet the needs of Oklahomans.

- A coordinated system to effectively distribute the public and private health care workforce to meet the needs of both urban and rural Oklahomans.

- Work group participants include representatives from: 1) workforce development and training; 2) physicians; 3) nurses; 4) nurse practitioners; 5) physician assistants; 6) allied health; 7) pharmacy; 8) academic; and 9) related state agencies. This work group is in the preliminary stages of identifying health care and public health workforce issues. Common issues identified by the work group include:

  - Rural vs. urban distribution of health care resources and practitioners – Distribution of health care resources is not parallel to the population. Rural areas have shortages but there are also medically underserved urban areas.

  - Focus on increased provision of primary health care and preventive services – There needs to be a coordinated effort among all disciplines to focus on the provision of primary care. There are large numbers of uninsured in rural areas. Funding mechanisms must be in place to support primary care health services in these areas. Behavioral health and dental health must also be considered part of primary care services. There should also be more support for primary care training in both rural and medically underserved urban areas.

  - Need to reduce bottlenecks and faculty shortages in training programs – Training programs need that faculty in nursing programs have PhDs. There are many highly qualified professionals who could teach that don’t meet that requirement. Another constraint is that faculty can earn more in the private sector. Many faculty will retire in the next 10 years. This will necessitate more partnerships between training programs to ensure an adequate supply of health education professionals.

  - Better information on our health care workforce, especially the public health workforce – We need a better picture of the status of health care professionals who are licensed and practice in the state versus those who no longer practice. Data show more professionals practicing than is actually the case. There needs to be an accurate assessment of the size of the workforce available to the population and their distribution throughout the state. The private and public health care workforce is aging. This will create shortages as they retire.

  - Increase the number of health care professionals who practice in rural areas – It is difficult to get health care professionals to go to rural areas to practice. Many of those who live in rural areas come to urban areas to study then do not return to practice. How can Oklahoma train people in rural areas so that they will stay and practice in those locations? The state also needs to address retention, keeping those we train in the state to practice.

  - Need to build a bridge between public and private health care systems – Public and private health care professionals need to join as a group to educate legislators and advocate for common needs. The OHP has the potential to be a unified voice for the health care professions.

**Infrastructure Opportunities**

- Need to build a bridge between public and private health care systems – Public and private health care professionals need to join as a group to educate legislators and advocate for common needs. The OHP has the potential to be a unified voice for the health care professions.

- Public Health Finance

- Workforce Development

- Access to Care

- Infrastructure Opportunities
The following objectives will be accomplished over the next five years:

• conduct on-going research regarding the effectiveness and practical use of the SCI Plan as it is being implemented;
• launch educational and informational campaigns about the essential elements of the SCI Plan;
• ensure that the Governor publicly supports the establishment of a permanent revenue source for Insure Oklahoma and encourages the Legislature to enact necessary legislation in early 2010; and
• work with the Legislature on a continuous basis to ensure a public revenue source is identified and that transparency is maintained through the use of hearings and public debate.

Health Systems Effectiveness

The health systems effectiveness work group has been formed to identify and strengthen private-public partnerships throughout the state of Oklahoma. The work group chair and co-chairs are committed to bringing together a diverse set of Oklahoma health professionals in both public and private settings to help identify best practices to improve health outcomes for residents of Oklahoma. The primary goal of this work group is to identify gaps in our current health systems operations that are preventing Oklahomans from achieving the best health outcomes possible.

The role of technology is at the forefront of federal activities to improve quality of care. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and the Children’s Health Insurance Plan Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) include provisions covering health information technology and health information exchanges including the use of electronic medical records. Limited funding is available to states to evaluate these systems.

Over the next nine to twelve months this work group will meet to generate a comprehensive plan that identifies the following:

• systematic methods for identifying appropriate partnerships
• methodologies and processes to reduce duplication of efforts
• utility of Health Information Technology (HIT) and Health Information Exchange (HIE) Systems
• key/responsible parties to champion these efforts

Create Affordable Commercial Health Plans

This strategy assumes an evaluation of the feasibility of “affordable” plans that 1) trigger eligibility for federal matching funds; 2) exist using state funds that are matched with private expenditures; or 3) are available as lower cost yet comprehensive options. One option is to create “mandate free” plans that will be allowed by the Legislature through suspension of state insurance mandates. The exploration of other options assumes that broadly affordable and attractive individual insurance plans are not likely to survive in the marketplace without the adoption of a fair and equitable requirement for all individuals to purchase health insurance coverage.

Generate Revenue through a Dedicated Insurance Fee

As public revenue is required, the SCI Plan proposes an innovative recirculation of what is known as the “embedded cost shift” in health expenditures. This approach assesses all third party health care claims and directs those assessments into a dedicated fund to generate the public revenue required to support premium assistance purchases. The rate and frequency of assessment will be calibrated to actual need, and the application of the fee will only be activated as the numbers of uninsured are reduced. All payers — insurers, HMOs and third party administrators — will participate, as each is a potential beneficiary.

Encourage Oklahomans to Obtain Insurance Coverage

A variety of enrollment strategies will be employed to induce Oklahomans to acquire applicable private or public health insurance. The failure of these strategies will require policymakers to consider mandating that all individuals secure health insurance.

Complimentary Initiatives

The issue of health insurance coverage for Oklahomans is as broad as it is deep. Nothing will be accomplished solely by a single group or even a single government. All of the proposed strategies are interdependent and require sequencing. This SCI proposal provides the suggested actions, benchmarks, and sequencing to significantly reduce the number of uninsured in Oklahoma, and recommends coordination with and support for complimentary initiatives in the state.26
Wisdom is to the mind what health is to the body.
- Francois De La Rochefoucauld

Outcomes
Outcomes will include important reports from the infrastructure work groups that assess findings of the State Coverage Initiative Report, recommend directions in public health care financing, recognize public/private partnerships that further health improvements, and identify strategies to strengthen the health care workforce. Flagship groups will present progress reports about outcomes recommended in the Get Fit Eat Smart Oklahoma Physical Activity and Nutrition State Plan and the Oklahoma State Plan for Tobacco Use Prevention and Cessation. A comprehensive plan covering children ages 1 to 18 will be completed. There will also be monitoring of strategies designed to reduce infant mortality. OHIP will provide a scorecard by which the goals and objectives referenced in this plan can be measured.

Involvement
Legislature
Legislative involvement is critical to OHIP's success. Recommendations in this report include public policy recommendations that require statutory changes. The OHIP Plan will be the blueprint to present these requests during the next legislative session. Furthermore, recommendations may require a different allocation of funding. OHIP leaders look forward to working with legislative leadership to ensure support in these areas.

Schools
Listening sessions emphasized the important role schools can play in promoting health and wellness and in serving as a community focal point for healthy family activities. The OHIP plan assumes activities to sustain and expand partnerships with community school leaders to further evidence-based practices in this sector.

Businesses
OHIP incorporated private sector leaders in its planning process; the plan recommends public/private partnerships as an important component in improving health outcomes. The work of the health systems effectiveness work group will be critical in identifying ways the business community can work with the public to expand health care initiatives and advocate for needed public policy changes.

Localities
Local communities are essential to the success of the OHIP process. With 66 Turning Point partnerships across the state, we are reaching critical mass where localities can make a positive impact on health indicators tailored to specific community characteristics. The OHIP process will continue to seek community input to elicit feedback about this plan as well as to solicit suggestions for priority areas in which to focus. This plan and improvement of health outcomes cannot move forward without the involvement, creativity, and advocacy of local communities.

This is an exciting time to work on state health improvement. The OHIP plan is being done against the backdrop of national health care reform and these recommendations are consistent with national goals and objectives in the areas of expansion of health care coverage, premium subsidies, cost containment, prevention and wellness, training of primary care providers, access to health services in rural and underserved areas, core public health infrastructure needs, and health systems quality and performance. This report is not a static document. It is a living document which will be monitored on a regular basis. We will continuously seek feedback from community stakeholders about our current efforts and to identify new initiatives.
Health, the greatest of all we count as blessings.  
- Ariphron
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Senate Joint Resolution No. 41

A joint resolution requiring the State Board of Health to prepare a state health improvement plan; and directing distribution.

WHEREAS, Oklahoma has the highest rate of diabetes in the nation; and
WHEREAS, thirty percent (30%) of women in Oklahoma do not receive adequate prenatal care; and
WHEREAS, Oklahoma ranks forty-third in the nation for its rate of cancer deaths; and
WHEREAS, the United Health Foundation ranked Oklahoma forty-seventh in the nation for overall health in 2007, down from forty-second in the nation in 2000 and thirty-first in the nation in 1990.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the 2nd Session of the 51st Oklahoma Legislature:

SECTION 1. The State Board of Health shall prepare and return to the Legislature a health improvement plan for Oklahoma for the general improvement of the physical, social, and mental well-being of all people in Oklahoma through a high functioning public health system.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of State shall distribute copies of this resolution to the members of the State Board of Health.

Passed the Senate the 11th day of March, 2008
Passed the House of Representatives the 24th day of April, 2008
Parents, Let’s Talk
In 2006, participants at a Youth Summit identified one of their major concerns as a lack of reliable sex education and life skills training. The Central Oklahoma Turning Point (COTP) Community Engagement committee felt that the most effective intervention they could offer was training for parents so they could communicate better with their children on these topics, using their own standards and values. They partnered with the Oklahoma State Department of Health and the Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, who supplied trainers, and have offered three sessions of “Parents, Let’s Talk,” a train-the-trainer workshop. Many youth clubs, civic groups, educators, and church youth leaders have participated in this training, and the result has been that hundreds of parents have benefited, along with their children.

Corporate Wellness Coordinators
More than 40 companies and organizations have participated in the ONC W  ellness Workgroup, which brings together wellness coordinators to share best practices, brainstorm new ideas, and find ways to share their experience with others seeking to improve the health of their workforce through wellness programs. Through the coordination and funding provided by the Oklahoma City-County Health Department, a website has been developed by the group,  www.wellness.okc.gov . The group meets monthly at COTP.

Custer-Washita Health Action Team
Brandie O’Connor, MPH
Turning Point Coordinator
Jackson County Health Department
401 W Tamarack · Altus, OK 73521
(580) 482-7308

Weight Watchers Walking for Wellness
This group of Red Bird Parks and Recreation Department’s volunteer-driven Walking for Wellness Program is in its third year. Residents are encouraged to begin the program at anytime by simply registering online. By submitting miles or steps individuals are included in the current totals for the City of Weatherford.

Tobacco Prevention Grant Funded through TSET
Activities through this grant have included successful passage of 24/7 tobacco-free school properties, promotion of Quitline activities, and active Students Working Against Tobacco (SWAT) teams in county schools.

Underside Drinking
Successful activities include passage of Social Host Ordinances in Clinton and Weatherford and active 2 Much 2 Lose (2M2L) teams throughout the community to curtail underage drinking.

Convenient Care Clinic
This clinic was opened to serve those accessing the emergency room who were not in need of urgent care, but more convenient and affordable access to health care services. The clinic’s target population includes those who do not have a regular doctor; those who cannot get in to see a doctor; and those whose medical conditions do not warrant a visit to the emergency room. Patients are charged a co-payment and are billed under the hospital billing system. A payment assistance program is offered, and if the patient is uninsured, they receive a 40 percent discount on services received.

Delaware County Community Partnership
Susan Walsworth
Ottawa County Health Department
1930 North Elm · Miami, OK 74354
(918) 523-3576

Drug and Alcohol Prevention and Awareness
The Delaware County Community Partnership (DCPP) has been successful in drug and alcohol prevention and awareness efforts. In 2007 the DCPP received a grant from the Cherokee Nation for alcohol and drug abuse prevention efforts for 3 years, which has served all the citizens of Delaware County, particularly youth. A major success from this effort was an underage drinking awareness town meeting, which was highly attended by over 100 residents of this rural community.

Health and Wellness Needs Assessment
In order to expand community health improvement interventions and broaden the efforts of community partners, the DCPP has developed a comprehensive health and wellness needs assessment which is currently being distributed throughout the county. The data collected will help shape DCPP’s goals of improving the quality of life of Delaware County residents by encouraging healthy attitudes and behaviors, and will provide baseline measures from which future planned evidence-based programs can be evaluated for impact and effectiveness.

School Nurses Coalition
Delaware County has been successful in obtaining school nurses for seven of its nine school districts which is a major accomplishment towards child health. Delaware County Health Department (DCHD) and the DCPP brought together these special nurses for regular meetings to discuss and support strategies to increase child health in the school environment.

CATCH Afterschool Program and Community Garden
The CATCH (Coordinated Approach to Child Health) program encourages physical activity and nutritious foods in a fun environment for children in the critical afterschool time period. The DCHD and DSLI Extension Center started the YMCA Garden in their CATCH program. After the youth planted their garden, they took what they could pick from the garden and made healthy snacks such as fresh salsa while discussing ways to consume more fruits and vegetables.

Enid Metropolitan Human Service
Commission’s Health Planning Committee
Lara M. Shaffer
Harper County Health Department
PO Box 290 · Lawton, OK 73507
(580) 921-2029

Medical Supply Cooperative
The Medical Supply Cooperative project is a win-win situation for Enid. Disposable medical supplies are often brought in bulk for patients in medical facilities or those utilizing home health. When these patients no longer need or use these supplies there is the question of what to do with the extra supplies as they cannot be resold. The Community Health Clinic began receiving these supplies but did not have room to store them. The Committee has partnered with the Community Health Clinic to start the Medical Supply Cooperative to provide these supplies to home health nurses, discharge planners, and other health care providers to distribute to those citizens in need of these items.

Walk This Weigh
A Walk This Weigh program was sponsored by the Committee. This program provided community walking programs on four Saturdays during the six week program. There were between 600 – 800 participants throughout the program. Healthy snack stations provided community walkers with healthy snacks such as fresh salsa while discussing ways to consume more fruits and vegetables.

Fit Kids of Southwest Oklahoma
Brandie O’Connor, MPH
Turning Point Coordinator
Jackson County Health Department
401 W Tamarack · Altus, OK 73521
(580) 482-7308

There is a partnership between Comanche County Memorial Hospital (CCMH) Foundation and Lawton Public Schools to fund a Healthy Schools Coordinator and to implement the Healthy School Program through the Alliance for a Healthier Generation. This program will provide necessary child health data and empower the schools to adopt evidence-based strategies which will begin changing the culture and attitudes of students, parents and staff. The second core project was the PLAY program with CCMH. This program started prior to Fit Kids and has provided hard data from 4th grade students in Lawton. While this program has been changed to the CATCH program, its results and impact are at the core of Fit Kids of Southwest Oklahoma.

Okmulgee County Wellness Coalition
Tammy Randazzo, MPH, CHES
Regional Field Consultant
Pittsburg County Health Department
1400 E College Ave · McAlester, OK 74501
(918) 423-1267

After School Program
After school hours are a critical time for youth. A variety of community members have come together to make a positive change in their community. This year Okmulgee will have their first after school program for children ages 8 to 14 at two school sites, Monday - Friday from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. First and foremost the after school program will provide a safe haven for the children. With more and more area children growing up with two working parents or in a single parent home, today’s families can benefit from the safe, structured learning opportunities that the after school program can provide. The after school program will focus on physical health and fitness as well as academic gains. Beyond issues of safety and health, the rewards for students and their communities can be enormous.

Tobacco and Alcohol Compliance
Okmulgee County community members had a concern about local retailers selling alcohol and tobacco products to minors. A group of concerned citizens including the Area Prevention Resource Center, Oklahoma Highway Patrol, ABLE Commission, Sheriff’s Department, local police, and others partnered to conduct compliance checks around the county. They are now checking tobacco sales at least four times per year and alcohol sales at least three times per year. They utilize youth to attempt to purchase alcohol or tobacco and upon an agreed sale the retailer is either fined by law enforcement or provided education on sales to minors, underage drinking or the importance of preventing youth initiation to tobacco products. They have also used area youth to conduct “shoul der tap” buys where youth ask an adult to purchase alcohol for them. They have seen a decrease in the sales of tobacco to minors and have baseline data to see if the initiative is affecting sales of alcohol to minors as well. With the information learned from the project they have been able to develop a comprehensive media campaign on underage drinking issues in Okmulgee County and are now working at the state level to strengthen laws on sales to minors.
They have developed an active committee dedicated to developing and implementing a plan for Pontotoc County to decrease methamphetamine and other drug use rates. The committee is currently working on getting curriculum into county schools, community outreach and education, and a media campaign for the county. The group is committed to decreasing substance use in the county and improving the lives of its residents.

Texas County Turning Point
Lana M. Shaffer
Harper County Health Department
PO Box 290 - Laverne, OK 73848
(580) 921-2029

Texas County Children’s Health Fair
The 7th annual Children’s Health Fair was just held in late July. This event helps parents get their children ready for back to school. The fair is held at the fairgrounds and allows a one-stop shop for parents with many health screenings being held in one location. An average of 250 children attends each year. Children receive immunizations, vision, spine, and dental screenings. In addition, many service organizations and agencies are there to provide activities for the children and information on their services.

School-Based Social Worker
Guymon is a rapidly growing community and experiences high demands on its education system, service organizations and medical facilities. Schools provide an excellent place for providing support for families. Frequently, children are having difficulty at school due to physical, emotional, and economic problems. The coalition worked to create collaboration between Guymon Public Schools and the local Department of Human Services agency to create a comprehensive, coordinated school-based service worker. The worker was employed in August 2007 to respond to the social service needs of students and families through a referral system. She coordinates resources for families by making applications to any DHS program from the school office and is available for questions and support to teachers and families.

Crystal Darkness
Pontotoc County citizens have been extremely concerned about substance abuse in their area. According to the Oklahoma Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services they currently have the third highest rate of methamphetamine (meth) use in the state. The recent Oklahoma Crystal Darkness documentary and other excellent tools for providing support for families.
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